

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/517,033	04/29/2005	Pekka Kangasniemi	7831.1019	2853	
21831	7590 07/25/2005		EXAMINER		
STEINBERG & RASKIN, P.C. 1140 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, 15th FLOOR			KILKENNY,	KILKENNY, PATRICK J	
	NEW YORK, NY 10036-5803		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3732		

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
065 - 4.45 - 0	10/517,033	KANGASNIEMI, PEKKA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Patrick J. Kilkenny	3732			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repepty within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01	March 2005.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.	•			
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>	ents have been received.				
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li></ol>	ents have been received in Ap	plication No			
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the pr</li></ol>	•	eceived in this National Stage			
application from the International Bure	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not r	eceived.			
Attachment(s)	_				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>		ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/(Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3732

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

# Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The second preliminary amendment makes reference to a location in the specification, "first paragraph on p. 9 line 1," that is not the appropriate location for the given amendment.

The fourth preliminary amendment should be added to line 16 not "17."

The preliminary amendment associated with the new abstract should be added to page 12 line 1 as opposed to "page 11 line 10." The abstract should always been on a separate page.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

Art Unit: 3732

applicant regards as the invention. The phrases in: claim 1, "substantially continuous" and "material typically used in gripping ends"; claim 2, "substantially higher;" claim 3, "preferably 0.7...0.8," claim 4, "substantially homogenous material;" claim 5, "having a hardness substantially in the range;" and claim 7, " is substantially thin" contain relative terminology whose meaning cannot be ascertained within the specification rendering the claims indefinite.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin (4,859,183) in view of Ruddle (6,179,617). Martin discloses a root canal instrument with a metallic needle part (Fig. 2, #12) and a handle (Fig. 2, #14). The handle is made of silicone rubber (Column 3, line 2), and therefore maintains the desired properties such as coefficient of friction and hardness described in claims 1-5 and 7, since, according to the applicant's specification, silicone rubber was the idealized material which met these claimed limitations. Martin also discloses a dual layer handle with an outer thin layer of silicone and an inner layer of differing material (Fig. 3). Martin does not disclose the handle being substantially continuous. Ruddle teaches a handle for an endontic instrument that has a continuous handle (Fig. 2 #11). Therefore, it would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/517,033 Page 4

Art Unit: 3732

obvious to anyone skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to make the handle of Martin continuous as taught by Ruddle to obtain a root canal instrument with a handle that better utilizes the desired mechanical properties of silicone rubber.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See attached PTO0892. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick J. Kilkenny whose telephone number is (571)272-8684. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patrick J. Kilkenny Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3732

Rínáidi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner

**Group 3700**